

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/621,565	07/17/2003	Robert Brace Steinert		2119	
37498 7:	590 10/05/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
ROBERT B. STEINERT 32 VILLAGE WAY			PATEL, T.	PATEL, TAJASH D	
NORTH BRANCH, NJ 08876			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3765		
		DATE MAILED: 10/05/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/621,565	ROBERT STEINERT			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Tejash D. Patel	3765			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 July 2003.					
·	•	action is non-final.	·			
/==	·					
,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
	<ul> <li>4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> </ul>					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
-	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected.					
7)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subjected to:  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	· clastian requirement				
ا (٥	are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)[	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
2) D Notic 3) D Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa				

Application/Control Number: 10/621,565

Art Unit: 3765

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 1, one lines 8 and 12, the recitation "at least one way valve" is indefinite since it is unclear whether these elements are the same of different structurally. Further, with regard to claim 25, the reference of a copending specification in claim language is indefinite and should be deleted since it does not properly limit the metes and bounds of the patent protection as desired. Correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/621,565

Art Unit: 3765

Page 3

4. Claims 1-2, and 4-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable as understood over Durney (US 3,699,589) in view of Okayasu (US 5,282,740). Durney discloses a ventilated protective suit (10) including a body (12) constructed of impermeable material which protects and covers the wearer's body from micrometeoroid bombardment in space, col. 3, lines 1-5, that has at least one bellow operated by movement of the wearer's arm as shown in figure 2. Further, at least one-way valve/connector (20) draws oxygen into the suit and inherently into the bellows, col. 3, lines 12-35. Also, the suit has a full face shield (16) with loose flexible material in areas between the bellows that forms part of the body, to allow movement without affecting the bellows position as shown in figure 2. The bellows of the suit being fan shaped can expand and collapse in the areas of the arms, leg and knees as shown in figure 2. A pocket is positioned opposite the bellow when the joint is folded while allowing the ends of the arm to be open as illustrated in figure 2. However, Durney does not show the valve having a filter being attached thereto.

Okayasu discloses a suit (12) including a valve (22) having a filter (21) attached thereto, col. 4, lines 25-26 and as shown in figure 1.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the valve of Durney with a filter as taught by Okayasu so that the air if free of contamination or as required for a particular application thereof.

Art Unit: 3765

With regard to claim 5, it would have been obvious that the garment of Durney when viewed with Okayasu can be made of any desired material that was available at the time the device was made or depending on particular application thereof.

With regard to claims 7, 10, 11 and 12, it would have been obvious that the garment of Durney when viewed with Okayasu can be includes bellows that are separate from the body of the garment and attached by any conventional means as known in the art.

in order to make the suit cost effective or depending on the end use thereof.

With regard to claim 23, it is obvious that the valve having a filter in the suit of Durney when viewed with Okayasu is replaceable so that worn or damaged filters can be easily changed as conventional known in the art.

5. Claims 3 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Durney in view of Okayasu as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of McGuinness (H1316). Durney discloses the invention as set forth above except for showing the face shield having two one way valves.

McGuinness discloses a face mask/shield having two one way valves, col. 1, lines 55-68 and as shown in figure 1.

Art Unit: 3765

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the face shield of Durney when viewed with Okayasu having two one way valves as taught by McGuinness in order to allow positive pressure inside the suit by prevention the ingestion of contaminants or depending on the end use thereof.

### Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tejash Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272-4993. The fax phone number for this group is (571) 273-8300.

PRIMARY EXAMINED

September 30, 2005